

Optimal control problem of fully coupled forward-backward stochastic systems with Poisson jumps under partial information *

Qingxin MENG[†]

Abstract

In this paper, we study a class of stochastic optimal control problem with jumps under partial information. More precisely, the controlled systems are described by a fully coupled nonlinear multi-dimensional forward-backward stochastic differential equation driven by a Poisson random measure and an independent multi-dimensional Brownian motion, and all admissible control processes are required to be adapted to a given subfiltration of the filtration generated by the underlying Poisson random measure and Brownian motion. For this type of partial information stochastic optimal control problem, we give a necessary and sufficient maximum principle. All the coefficients appearing in the systems are allowed to depend on the control variables and the control domain is convex.

Keywords: Poisson process; backward stochastic differential equation; maximum principle; stochastic optimal control; partial information

1 Introduction

In recent years, there have been growing interests on stochastic optimal control problems under partial information, partly due to the applications in mathematical finance. For the partial information optimal control problem, the objective is to find an optimal control for which the controller has less information than the complete information filtration. In particular, sometimes an economic model in which there are information gaps among economic agents can be formulated as a partial information optimal control problem (see Øksendal[8], Kohlmann and Xiong [6]).

There are two important approaches to the general stochastic optimal control problem. One is the Bellman dynamic programming principle, which results in the Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation. Another important approach is the stochastic maximum principle by which one necessary or one sufficient condition of optimality can be obtained by duality theory. For detailed accounts of the approaches for complete information stochastic optimal control problem of the forward system, see the books [15] and the references therein.

Recently, Bagheri and Øksendal [2] established a maximum principle of forward systems with jumps for under partial information. In [2], the authors point out that because of the general nature of the partial information filtration, dynamic programming and Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman equation can not be used to solve the corresponding stochastic optimal control problem.

Backward stochastic differential equations coupled with forward stochastic differential equations are called forward-backward stochastic differential equations (FBSDEs). Forward-Backward

¹This work was partially supported by Basic Research Program of China(Grant No. 2007CB814904) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.10325101) and the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province (Grant No. Y605478, Y606667).

²Institute of Mathematics, Fudan University, Shanghai 200433, China, Email: 071018034@fudan.edu.cn

stochastic systems are not only encountered in stochastic optimal control problem when applying the stochastic maximum principle but also used in mathematical finance (see Antonelli [1], Duffie and Epstein [4], El Karoui, Peng and Quenez [5] for example). It now becomes more clear that certain important problems in mathematical economics and mathematical finance, especially in the optimization problem, can be formulated to be FBSDEs. In 2009, Meng [7] studied the partial stochastic optimal control problem of continuous fully coupled forward-backward stochastic systems driven by a Brownian motion. As in [2], the author established one sufficient (a verification theorem) and one necessary conditions of optimality.

In this paper, we aim at using convex analysis tools to prove stochastic maximum principle for forward -backward stochastic systems with jumps under partial information. More precisely, the controlled systems are described by a fully coupled nonlinear multi-dimensional forward-backward stochastic differential equation driven by a Poisson random measure and an independent Brownian motion. Furthermore, all admissible control processes are required to be adapted to a subfiltration of the filtration generated by the underlying random measure and Brownian motion. The results obtained in this paper can be considered as a generalization of [7] to the discontinuous case. Our paper covers the partial information cases in [2] and [7]. When there is no random measure in the systems considered in our paper, the corresponding stochastic optimal problem reduced to the case in [7]. When there is no backward system in the systems considered in our paper, the corresponding stochastic optimal problem reduced to the case in [2].

It is worth noting that in 2008, Oksanal and Sulem [9] have investigated stochastic maximum principle for non-coupled one-dimensional forward-backward differential equations with jumps. More precisely, the forward system does not couple with the backward system, only the backward system couples with the forward system. Compared with [9], the system in our paper is fully coupled and multi-dimensional. So the system in [9] is a special case of the system in our paper.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we give our main assumptions and the statement of problem. In section 3, we derive the main result, the sufficient maximum principle of the stochastic optimal control problem under partial information. Section 4 is devoted to the necessary optimality conditions.

Moreover, we refer to [12][13] on the existence and uniqueness of solutions to the fully coupled forward-backward stochastic differential equations with jumps. There are already a lot of literatures on the complete information maximum principle of forward-backward stochastic differential systems. See e.g.[14][10][11] and the references therein.

2 Statement of the optimal control problem

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$ be a complete probability space and $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, P)$ be a filtered probability space, where $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the usual conditions, a right continuous increasing family of complete sub σ -algebra of $\mathcal{F}$. let $\{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a d-dimensional standard Brownian motion in this space and let η be a stationary $\mathcal{F}_t$ -Poisson point process on a fixed nonempty measurable subset $\mathcal{E}$ of R^1 . We denote by $\pi(de)$ the characteristic measure of η and by $\tilde{N}(de, dt)$ the counting measure induced by η . We assume that $\pi(\mathcal{E}) < \infty$, we then define $N(de, dt) := \tilde{N}(de, dt) - \pi(de)dt$. We note that $N(de, dt)$ is a poisson martingale measure with characteristic $\pi(de)$. We assume that $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is the P-augmentation of the natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t^{(W, N)}$ defined by $\forall t \in (0, +\infty)$:

$$\mathcal{F}_t^{(W, N)} := \sigma(W(s), 0 \leq s \leq t) \bigvee \sigma(N(A, (0, t]), 0 \leq s \leq t, A \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{E})).$$

The following notation will be used in this paper:

(α, β) : the inner product in Euclidean space $R^n, \forall \alpha, \beta \in R^n$.

$|\alpha| = \sqrt{(\alpha, \alpha)}$: the norm of Euclidean space $R^n, \forall \alpha \in R^n$.

$(A, B) = \text{tr}(AB^T)$: the inner product in Euclidean space $R^{n \times m}, \forall A, B \in R^{n \times m}$.

$|A| = \sqrt{\text{tr}(AA^*)}$: the norm of Euclidean space $R^{n \times m}, \forall A \in R^{n \times m}$.

Here we denote by A^* , the transpose of a matrix A

$(\alpha, \beta)_H$: the inner product in Hilbert space $H, \forall \alpha, \beta \in H$.

$|\alpha|_H = \sqrt{(\alpha, \alpha)_H}$: the norm of Hilbert space $H, \forall \alpha \in H$.

$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(H)$: the Banach space of H -valued $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted càdlàg processes with the norm

$$\sqrt{E \sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} |f(t)|_H^2} < \infty.$$

$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(H)$: the Hilbert space of H -valued $\mathcal{F}_t$ -adapted processes with the norm

$$\sqrt{E \int_0^T |f(t)|_H^2 dt} < \infty.$$

$\mathcal{L}_{\pi}^2(H)$: the Hilbert space of H -valued measurable functions defined on the measure space

$$(\mathcal{E}, \pi) \text{ with the norm } \sqrt{\int_{\mathcal{E}} |r(z)|_H^2 \pi(dz)} < \infty.$$

$F_{\mathcal{F}}^{\pi, 2}(H)$: the Hilbert space of $\mathcal{L}_{\pi}^2(H)$ -valued $\mathcal{F}_t$ -predictable processes with the norm

$$\sqrt{E \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} |r(t, e)|_H^2 \pi(de) dt} < \infty.$$

$L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P; H)$: the Hilbert space of H -valued norm square integrable random variables on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P)$.

In this paper, we consider the controlled fully coupled nonlinear forward-backward stochastic differential equations with jumps of the form

$$\begin{cases} dx_t &= b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t)dt + g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t)dB_t \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \sigma(t, x_{t-}, y_{t-}, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_{t-}, e)N(de, dt) \\ dy_t &= -f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t)dt + z_t dB_t + \int_{\mathcal{E}} r_t(e)N(de, dt) \\ x(0) &= a \\ y(T) &= \xi, \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where the coefficient are the maps as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} b(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) &: [0, T] \times R^n \times R^m \times R^{m \times d} \times \mathcal{L}_{\pi}^2(R^m) \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow R^n, \\ g(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) &: [0, T] \times R^n \times R^m \times R^{m \times d} \times \mathcal{L}_{\pi}^2(R^m) \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow R^{n \times d}, \\ \sigma(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) &: [0, T] \times R^n \times R^m \times R^{m \times d} \times \mathcal{L}_{\pi}^2(R^m) \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow R^n, \\ f(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) &: [0, T] \times R^n \times R^m \times R^{m \times d} \times \mathcal{L}_{\pi}^2(R^m) \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow R^m, \end{aligned}$$

Assume that b, g, σ, f are Fréchet differentiable with respect to the variables $(x, y, z, r(\cdot), v)$. For $\varphi = b, g, \sigma, f$, we denote by $\nabla_x \varphi, \nabla_y \varphi, \nabla_z \varphi, \nabla_u \varphi, \nabla_{r(\cdot)} \varphi$ the Fréchet derivatives with respect to $x, y, z, u, r(\cdot)$ respectively. $T > 0$ is a given constant, and ξ is a given random variable in $L^2(\Omega, \mathcal{F}_T, P; R^m)$. The process $v = \{v_t(\omega), t \in [0, T], \omega \in \Omega\}$ in the system (2.1) is the control process, required to have values in a given nonempty convex set $\mathcal{U} \subset R^k$ and required to be càdlàg and $\{\varepsilon_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ adapted, where $\varepsilon_t \subseteq \mathcal{F}_t$ for all $t \in [0, T]$ is a given subfiltration representing the information available to the controller at time t . For example, we could have $\varepsilon_t = \mathcal{F}_{(t-\delta)^+}$ for all $t \in [0, T]$, where $\delta \geq 0$ is a fixed delay of information.

We shall define performance criterion by

$$J(v) = E \left[\int_0^T l(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r(\cdot), v_t) dt + \phi(x_T) + h(y_0) \right], \quad (2.2)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} l(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) &: [0, T] \times R^n \times R^m \times R^{m \times d} \times \mathcal{L}_\pi^2(R^m) \times \mathcal{U} \rightarrow R, \\ \phi(x) &: R^n \rightarrow R, \\ h(y) &: R^m \rightarrow R, \end{aligned}$$

are given Fréchet differential functions with respect to $(x, y, z, r(\cdot), v)$. We call the control process v an admissible control if it gives rise to a unique strong solution of the forward-backward stochastic differential equation (2.1) and the following condition holds:

$$E \left[\int_0^T |l(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r(\cdot), v_t)| dt + |\phi(x_T)| + |h(y_0)| \right] < \infty. \quad (2.3)$$

The strong solution corresponding to the admissible control v is denoted by

$$(x, y, z, r(\cdot)) = (x^{(v)}, y^{(v)}, z^{(v)}, r^{(v)}(\cdot)) \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(R^n) \times \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(R^m) \times \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(R^{m \times d}) \times F_{\mathcal{F}}^{\pi, 2}(R^m).$$

The set of all admissible controls is denoted by $\mathcal{A}$. If $v \in \mathcal{A}$ and $(x, y, z, r(\cdot)) = (x^{(v)}, y^{(v)}, z^{(v)}, r^{(v)}(\cdot))$ is the corresponding strong solution of (2.1), we call $(v; x, y, z, r(\cdot))$ an admissible pair.

The partial information optimal control problem amounts to determining an admissible control $u \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$J(u) = \inf_{v \in \mathcal{A}} J(v). \quad (2.4)$$

Such controls u are called optimal control. If $(x, y, z, r(\cdot)) = (x^{(u)}, y^{(u)}, z^{(u)}, r^{(u)}(\cdot))$ is the corresponding strong solution of (2.1), then $(u; x, y, z, r(\cdot))$ is called an optimal pair.

3 A Partial Information Sufficient Maximum Principle

In this section we want to study the sufficient maximum principle for the partial information optimal control problem (2.1)(2.2)(2.3)(2.4). To this end, we need the following two lemmas. The main results of this paper are the following

Lemma 3.1. (Integration by Parts) Suppose that the processes $Y^{(1)}(t)$ and $Y^{(2)}(t)$ are given by

$$dY^{(j)}(t) = b^{(j)}(t)dt + g^{(j)}(t)dB_t + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \sigma^{(j)}(t, e)N(de, dt), Y^{(j)}(0) = y^{(j)} \in R^n, j = 1, 2,$$

where $b^{(j)} \in \mathcal{L}_F^2(R^n)$, $g^{(j)} \in \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}}^2(R^{n \times d})$, $\sigma^{(j)} \in F_{\mathcal{F}}^{\pi, 2}(R^n)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} E[Y^{(1)}(T)Y^{(2)}(T)] &= y_1 y_2 + E \left[\int_0^T \left(Y^{(1)}(t-), dY^{(2)}(t) \right) \right] + E \left[\int_0^T \left(dY^{(1)}(t), Y^{(2)}(t-) \right) \right] \\ &\quad + E \left[\int_0^T \left(g^{(1)}(t), g^{(2)}(t) \right) dt \right] + E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\sigma^{(1)}(t, e), \sigma^{(2)}(t, e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof can be obtained directly from the Itô formula with jumps (Theorem 1.16 in [9])

Lemma 3.2. Let f be a Fréchet differentiable on Hilbert space H . And let C be a convex subset of H . Then f is convex on C if and only if

$$f(x) - f(x_0) \geq \left(\nabla_{x_0} f, x - x_0 \right)_H$$

for all $x, x_0 \in C$.

The proof can be obtained directly from Theorem 4.1.1. in [3].

In our setting the Hamiltonian function $H : [0, T] \times R^n \times R^m \times R^{m \times d} \times \mathcal{L}_\pi^2(R^m) \times \mathcal{U} \times R^n \times R^{n \times d} \times \mathcal{L}_\pi^2(R^n) \times R^m \rightarrow R$ gets the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} H(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v, p, q, \beta(\cdot), k) = & \left(k, -f(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) \right) + \left(p, b(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) \right) \\ & + \left(q, \sigma(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) \right) + l(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v) \\ & + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\beta(\bar{e}), \sigma(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v, \bar{e}) \right) \pi(d\bar{e}). \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

The adjoint equation which fits into the system (2.1) corresponding to the given admissible pair $(v; x, y, z, r(\cdot))$ is given by the following forward-backward stochastic differential equation:

$$\begin{cases} dk_t = -\nabla_y H(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, p_t, q_t, \beta(\cdot), k_t) dt \\ \quad - \nabla_z H(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, p_t, q_t, \beta(\cdot), k_t) dB_t, \\ \quad - \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} H(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r(\cdot), v_t, p_t, q_t, \beta(\cdot), k_t) N(de, dt) \\ dp_t = -\nabla_x H(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, p_t, q_t, \beta(\cdot), k_t) dt + q_t dB_t + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \beta(e) N(de, dt), \\ k_0 = -\nabla_y h(y_0), \quad p_T = \nabla_x \varphi(x_T), \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

where $(p(t), q(t), \beta(t, \cdot), k(t)) \in R^n \times R^{n \times d} \times \mathcal{L}_\pi^2(R^n) \times R^m$ are the unknown processes.

We now coming to a verification theorem for the optimal control problem (2.1)(2.2)(2.3)(2.4).

Theorem 3.3. (The Sufficient Maximum Principle)

Let $(\hat{u}; \hat{x}, \hat{y}, \hat{z}, \hat{r}(\cdot))$ be an admissible pair and suppose that there exists a strong solution $(\hat{p}, \hat{q}, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}(\cdot))$ of the corresponding adjoint forward-backward equation (3.2). Assume that the following conditions are satisfied. For arbitrary admissible control $(v; x^{(v)}, y^{(v)}, z^{(v)}, r^{(v)}(\cdot))$, we have

$$E \int_0^T (\hat{x}_t - x_t^{(v)})^* \hat{q}_t \hat{q}_t^* (\hat{x}_t - x_t^{(v)}) dt < +\infty, \quad (3.3)$$

$$E \int_0^T (\hat{x}_t - x_t^{(v)})^* \int_{\mathcal{E}} \hat{\beta}_t(e) \hat{\beta}_t^*(e) \pi(de) (\hat{x}_t - x_t^{(v)}) dt < +\infty, \quad (3.4)$$

$$E \int_0^T (\hat{y}_t - y_t^{(v)})^* (\nabla_z H \nabla_z H^*)(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{v}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) (\hat{y}_t - y_t^{(v)}) dt < +\infty, \quad (3.5)$$

$$E \int_0^T (\hat{y}_t - y_t^{(v)})^* \int_{\mathcal{E}} (\nabla_{r(\cdot)} H \nabla_{r(\cdot)} H^*)(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{v}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \pi(dz) (\hat{y}_t - y_t^{(v)}) dt < +\infty, \quad (3.6)$$

$$E \int_0^T \hat{p}_t^* (gg^*)(t, x_t^{(v)}, y_t^{(v)}, r_t^{(v)}(\cdot), z_t^{(v)}, v_t) \hat{p}_t dt < +\infty, \quad (3.7)$$

$$E \int_0^T \hat{p}_t^* \int_{\mathcal{E}} (\sigma \sigma^*)(t, x_t^{(v)}, y_t^{(v)}, z_t^{(v)}, r_t^{(v)}(\cdot), v_t, e) \pi(de) \hat{p}_t dt < +\infty, \quad (3.8)$$

$$E \int_0^T \hat{k}_t^* (z_t^{(v)} z_t^{(v)*}) \hat{k}_t dt < +\infty, \quad (3.9)$$

$$E \int_0^T \hat{k}_t^* \int_{\mathcal{E}} (r_t^{(v)}(e) r_t^{(v)*}(e)) \pi(de) \hat{k}_t dt < +\infty, \quad (3.10)$$

$$E \int_0^T |H_u(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}, \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t)|^2 dt < +\infty. \quad (3.11)$$

ensuring that the integrals with respect to the Brownian motion B and the compensated jump parts indeed have zero mean. Moreover, suppose that for all $t \in [0, T]$, $H(t, x, y, z, r_t(\cdot), v, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t)$ is convex in $(x, y, z, r(\cdot), v)$, and $h(y)$ is convex in y and $\phi(x)$ is convex in x , and the following partial information maximum condition holds

$$E \left[H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \middle| \varepsilon_t \right] = \min_{v \in U} E \left[H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), v, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \middle| \varepsilon_t \right]. \quad (3.12)$$

Then $\hat{u}_\cdot$ is a partial information optimal control.

Proof. Let $(v; \dot{x}_\cdot, \dot{y}_\cdot, \dot{z}_\cdot, r(\cdot)) = (v; \dot{x}_\cdot^{(v)}, \dot{y}_\cdot^{(v)}, \dot{z}_\cdot^{(v)}(\cdot), r(\cdot))$ be an arbitrary admissible pair. It follows from the definition of the performance functional (2.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} J(v(\cdot)) - J(\hat{u}(\cdot)) &= E \int_0^T \left[l(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right] dt \\ &\quad + E \left[\phi(x_T) - \phi(\hat{x}_T) \right] + E \left[h(y_0) - h(\hat{y}_0) \right] \\ &= I_1 + I_2, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

where

$$I_1 = E \int_0^T \left[l(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right] dt, \quad (3.14)$$

$$I_2 = E \left[\phi(x_T) - \phi(\hat{x}_T) \right] + E[h(y_0) - h(\hat{y}_0)]. \quad (3.15)$$

Using Convexity of ϕ and h , Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= E \left[\phi(x_T) - \phi(\hat{x}_T) \right] + E \left[h(y_0) - h(\hat{y}_0) \right] \\ &\geq E \left[\left(\nabla_x \phi(\hat{x}_T), x_T - \hat{x}_T \right) \right] + E \left[\left(\nabla_y h(\hat{y}_0), y_0 - \hat{y}_0 \right) \right] \\ &= E \left[\left(\hat{p}_T, x_T - \hat{x}_T \right) - \left(\hat{p}_0, x_0 - \hat{x}_0 \right) + \left(\hat{k}_T, y_T - \hat{y}_T \right) - \left(\hat{k}_0, y_0 - \hat{y}_0 \right) \right] \\ &= E \left[\int_0^T \left(x_{t-} - \hat{x}_{t-}, d\hat{p}_t \right) \right] + E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{p}_{t-}, d(x_t - \hat{x}_t) \right) \right] \\ &\quad + E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{q}_t, g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right) dt \right] \\ &\quad + E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\hat{\beta}_t(e), \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, e) - \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(y_{t-} - \hat{y}_{t-}, d\hat{k}_t \right) \right] + E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{k}_{t-}, d(y_t - \hat{y}_t) \right) \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(-\nabla_z H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), z_t - \hat{z}_t \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(-\nabla_{r(\cdot)} H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), r_t(e) - \hat{r}_t(e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right] \\
= & E \left[\int_0^T \left(-\nabla_x H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), x_t - \hat{x}_t \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(-\nabla_y H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), y_t - \hat{y}_t \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(-\nabla_z H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), z_t - \hat{z}_t \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(-\nabla_{r(\cdot)} H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), r_t(e) - \hat{r}_t(e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{p}_t, b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{q}_t, g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\hat{\beta}_t(e), \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, e) - \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{k}_t, -(f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t)) \right) dt \right] \\
= & J_1 + J_2,
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 = & E \left[\int_0^T \left(-\nabla_x H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), x_t - \hat{x}_t \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(-\nabla_y H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), y_t - \hat{y}_t \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(-\nabla_z H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), z_t - \hat{z}_t \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(-\nabla_{r(\cdot)} H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), r_t(e) - \hat{r}_t(e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right], \\
J_2 = & E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{p}_t, b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{q}_t, g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\hat{\beta}_t(e), \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, e) - \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right] \\
& +E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{k}_t, -(f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t)) \right) dt \right],
\end{aligned}$$

and we have used the assumptions (3.3)-(3.11) which ensure that the stochastic integrals with respect to the Brownian motion and the Poisson random measure have zero expectation.

By the definition of the Hamiltonian function H (noting (3.1)) and the definition of I_1 (noting

(3.14)), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1 &= E \int_0^T \left[l(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right] dt \\
&= E \int_0^T \left[H(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) - H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \right] dt \\
&\quad - E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{p}_t, b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right) dt \right] \\
&\quad - E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{q}_t, g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \right) dt \right] \\
&\quad - E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\hat{\beta}_t(e), \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, e) - \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right] \\
&\quad - E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{k}_t, -(f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t) - f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t)) \right) dt \right], \\
&= J_3 - J_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where

$$J_3 = E \int_0^T \left[H(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) - H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \right] dt. \tag{3.17}$$

From the convexity of $H(t, x, y, z, r(\cdot), v, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t)$ with respect to $(x, y, z, r(\cdot), v)$ and Lemma 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&H(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), v_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) - H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \\
&\geq \left(\nabla_x H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), x_t - \hat{x}_t \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\nabla_y H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), y_t - \hat{y}_t \right) \\
&\quad + \left(\nabla_z H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), z_t - \hat{z}_t \right) \\
&\quad + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\nabla_{r(\cdot)} H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), r_t(e) - \hat{r}_t(e) \right) \pi(de) \\
&\quad + \left(\nabla_v H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), v_t - \hat{u}_t \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

Since $v \rightarrow E[H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), v, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) | \mathcal{E}_t]$, $v \in \mathcal{U}$ is minimal for $\hat{u}_t$ and $v_t, \hat{u}_t$ are $\mathcal{E}_t$ -measurable, we can get by (3.8)

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(E \left[\nabla_v H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \middle| \mathcal{E}_t \right], v_t - \hat{u}_t \right) \\
&= E \left[\left(\nabla_v H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), v_t - \hat{u}_t \right) \middle| \mathcal{E}_t \right] \geq 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$

Hence combining (3.17), (3.18) and (3.19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &\geq E \left[\int_0^T \left(\nabla_x H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), x_t - \hat{x}_t \right) dt \right] \\
&\quad + E \left[\int_0^T \left(\nabla_y H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), y_t - \hat{y}_t \right) dt \right] \\
&\quad + E \left[\int_0^T \left(\nabla_z H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), z_t - \hat{z}_t \right) dt \right] \\
&\quad + E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\nabla_{r(\cdot)} H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), r_t(e) - \hat{r}_t(e) \right) \pi(de) dt \right], \\
&= J_1.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

Therefore, it follows from (3.10), (3.15), (3.16) and (3.20) that

$$J(v_\cdot) - J(\hat{u}_\cdot) = I_1 + I_2 = (J_3 - J_2) + I_2 \geq (J_1 - J_2) + (-J_1 + J_2) = 0.$$

Since $v_\cdot \in \mathcal{A}$ is arbitrary, we conclude that $\hat{u}_\cdot$ is optimal control. The proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. $\square$

4 A Partial Information Necessary Maximum Principle

In this section, we give a necessary maximum principle for the partial information stochastic optimal control problem (2.1)(2.2)(2.3)(2.4). To this end, we adopt a similar strategy as in [2] and [7].

In addition to the assumption in Section 2, we shall now assume the following:

(H₁) For all t, r such that $0 \leq t < t + r \leq T$, and all bounded ε_t -measurable $\alpha = \alpha(\omega)$, the control $\theta_s := (0, \dots, \theta_s^i, 0, \dots, 0) \in \mathcal{U} \subset R^k$, with $\theta_s^i := \alpha_i \chi_{[t, t+r]}(s)$, $s \in [0, T]$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, k$.

(H₂) For given $u_\cdot, \theta_\cdot \in \mathcal{A}$, with $\theta_\cdot$ bounded, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $u_\cdot + y\theta_\cdot \in \mathcal{A}$, for all $y \in (-\delta, \delta)$.

For given $u_\cdot, \theta_\cdot \in \mathcal{A}$ with $\theta_\cdot$ bounded, we define the processes $(X_t^1, Y_t^1, Z_t^1, R_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
X_t^1 &:= X_t^{(u, \theta)} := \frac{d}{dy} x_t^{(u+y\theta)} \Big|_{y=0}, \\
Y_t^1 &:= Y_t^{(u, \theta)} := \frac{d}{dy} y_t^{(u+y\theta)} \Big|_{y=0}, \\
Z_t^1 &:= Z_t^{(u, \theta)} := \frac{d}{dy} z_t^{(u+y\theta)} \Big|_{y=0}, \\
R_t^1 &:= R_t^{(u, \theta)} := \frac{d}{dy} r_t^{(u+y\theta)} \Big|_{y=0}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Note that $(X_t^1, Y_t^1, Z_t^1, R_t^1)_{t \geq 0}$ satisfies the following linear forward-backward stochastic differ-

ential equation

$$\left\{ \begin{aligned} dX_t^1 &= \left[\nabla_x b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) X_t^1 + \nabla_y b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) Y_t^1 \right. \\ &\quad + \nabla_z b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) Z_t^1 + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) R_t(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) \\ &\quad \left. + \nabla_v b(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) \theta_t \right] dt + \left[\nabla_x g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) X_t^1 \right. \\ &\quad + \nabla_y g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) Y_t^1 + \nabla_z g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) Z_t^1 \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) R_t(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) + \nabla_v g(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) \theta_t \left. \right] dB_t \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left[\nabla_x \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t, e) X_t^1 + \nabla_y \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t, e) Y_t^1 \right. \\ &\quad + \nabla_z \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t, e) Z_t^1 + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t, e) R_t(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) \\ &\quad \left. + \nabla_v \sigma(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t, e) \theta_t \right] N(de, dt) \\ dY_t^1 &= - \left[\nabla_x f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) X_t^1 + \nabla_y f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) Y_t^1 \right. \\ &\quad + \nabla_z f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) Z_t^1 + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) R_t^1(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) \\ &\quad \left. + \nabla_v f(t, x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot), u_t) \theta_t \right] dt + Z_t^1 dB_t + \int_{\mathcal{E}} R_t^1(e) N(de, dt) \\ X_0^1 &= 0, \quad Y_T^1 = 0, \end{aligned} \right. \quad (4.2)$$

where $(x_t, y_t, z_t, r_t(\cdot)) = (x_t^{(u)}, y_t^{(u)}, z_t^{(u)}, r_t^{(u)}(\cdot))$.

Theorem 4.1. (The Necessary Maximum Principle) Let $\hat{u}(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}$ be a local minimum for performance functional $J(v(\cdot))$ (see (2.2)) in the sense that for all bounded $\beta(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $\hat{u}(\cdot) + y\beta(\cdot) \in \mathcal{A}$ for all $y \in (-\delta, \delta)$ and $h(y) := J(\hat{u}(\cdot) + y\beta(\cdot))$, $y \in (-\delta, \delta)$ is minimal at $y = 0$.

Suppose there exists a solution $(\hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ of the adjoint forward-backward stochastic differential equations (3.2) corresponding to the admissible pair $(\hat{u}_t; \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot))$. Moreover, suppose that if $\hat{X}_t^1 = X_t^{(\hat{u}, \beta)}$, $\hat{Y}_t^1 = Y_t^{(\hat{u}, \beta)}$, $\hat{Z}_t^1 = Z_t^{(\hat{u}, \beta)}$, (noting (4.1)(4.2)), then

$$E \int_0^T (X_t^{(1)})^* \hat{q}_t \hat{q}_t^* X_t^{(1)} dt < +\infty, \quad (4.3)$$

$$E \int_0^T (X_t^{(1)})^* \int_{\mathcal{E}} \hat{\beta}_t(e) \hat{\beta}_t^*(e) \pi(de) X_t^{(1)} dt < +\infty, \quad (4.4)$$

$$E \int_0^T (Y_t^{(1)})^* (\nabla_z H \nabla_z H^*)(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{v}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) Y_t^{(1)} dt < +\infty, \quad (4.5)$$

$$E \int_0^T (Y_t^{(1)})^* \int_{\mathcal{E}} (\nabla_{r(\cdot)} H \nabla_{r(\cdot)} H^*)(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}(\cdot), \hat{v}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \pi(de) Y_t^{(1)} dt < +\infty, \quad (4.6)$$

$$E \int_0^T \hat{p}_t^* (\zeta_t \zeta_t^*) dt < +\infty, \quad (4.7)$$

$$E \int_0^T \hat{p}_t^* \int_{\mathcal{E}} (\zeta_t \zeta_t^*) \pi(de) \hat{p}_t dt < +\infty, \quad (4.8)$$

$$E \int_0^T \hat{k}_t^* (Z_t^{(1)} Z_t^{(1)*}) \hat{k}_t dt < +\infty, \quad (4.9)$$

$$E \int_0^T \hat{k}_t^* \int_{\mathcal{E}} (R_t^{(v)}(e) R_t^{(v)*}(e)) \pi(de) \hat{k}_t dt < +\infty. \quad (4.10)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \xi_t &= \nabla_x g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) X_t^1 + \nabla_y g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) Y_t^1 \\ &\quad + \nabla_z g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) Z_t^1 + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) R_t(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}), \\ &\quad + \nabla_v g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \theta_t, \\ \zeta_t &= \nabla_x \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) X_t^1 + \nabla_y \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) Y_t^1 \\ &\quad + \nabla_z \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) Z_t^1 + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) R_t(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) \\ &\quad + \nabla_v \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) \theta_t. \end{aligned}$$

Then $\hat{u}(\cdot)$ is a stationary point for $E[H|\varepsilon_t]$ in the sense that for almost all $t \in [0, T]$, we have

$$E \left[H_u(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \middle| \varepsilon_t \right] = 0.$$

Proof. From the fact that $h(y)$ is minimal at $y = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= h'(0) = E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_x l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \hat{X}_t^1 \right) dt \\ &+ E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_y l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \hat{Y}_t^1 \right) dt + E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_z l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \hat{Z}_t^1 \right) dt \\ &+ E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_v l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \theta_t \right) dt \\ &+ E \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\nabla_{r(\cdot)} l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \hat{R}_t^1(\bar{e}) \right) \pi(d\bar{e}) dt \\ &+ E \left(\nabla_x \varphi(\hat{x}_T), \hat{X}_T^1 \right) + E \left(h \nabla_y(\hat{y}_0), \hat{Y}_0^1 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

Applying Integration by parts (Lemma 3.1) to $(\hat{p}_t, \hat{X}_t^1) + (\hat{k}_t, \hat{Y}_t^1)$, we obtain the following relations

$$\begin{aligned} &E \left(\nabla_x \varphi(\hat{x}_T), \hat{X}_T^1 \right) + E \left(\nabla_y h(\hat{y}_0, \cdot), \hat{Y}_0^1 \right) = E \left(\hat{p}_T, \hat{X}_T^1 \right) + E \left(-\hat{k}_0, \hat{Y}_0^1 \right) \\ &= -E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_x H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), \hat{X}_t^1 \right) dt \\ &\quad - E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_y H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), \hat{Y}_t^1 \right) dt \\ &\quad - E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_z H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), \hat{Z}_t^1 \right) dt \\ &\quad - E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\nabla_{r(\cdot)} H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), R_t^1(\bar{e}) \right) \pi(d\bar{e}) dt \right] \\ &\quad + E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{p}_t, \nabla_x b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) X_t^1 + \nabla_y b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) Y_t^1 \right. \right. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \nabla_z b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) Z_t^1 + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) R_t(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) \\
& + \nabla_v b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \theta_t \Big) dt + E \left[\int_0^T \left(\hat{q}_t, \nabla_x g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) X_t^1 \right. \right. \\
& + \nabla_y g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) Y_t^1 + \nabla_z g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) Z_t^1 \\
& + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) R_t(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) + \nabla_v g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \theta_t \Big) dt \\
& + E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\hat{\beta}_t(e), \nabla_x \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) X_t^1 + \nabla_y \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) Y_t^1 \right. \right. \\
& + \nabla_z \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) Z_t^1 + \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) R_t(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) \\
& + \nabla_v \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) \theta_t \Big) \pi(de) dt \Big] + E \int_0^T \left(\hat{k}_t, -\nabla_x f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) X_t^1 \right. \\
& - \nabla_y f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) Y_t^1 - \nabla_z f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) Z_t^1 \\
& - \int_{\mathcal{E}} \nabla_{r(\cdot)} f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) R_t^1(\bar{e}) \pi(d\bar{e}) - \nabla_v f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \theta_t \Big) dt \\
= & -E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_x l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \hat{X}_t^1 \right) dt - E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_y l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \hat{Y}_t^1 \right) dt \\
& -E \int_0^T \left(\nabla_z l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \hat{Z}_t^1 \right) dt \\
& -E \int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\nabla_{r(\cdot)} l(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t), \hat{R}_t^1(e) \right) \pi(de) dt \\
& + E \int_0^T \left(\hat{p}_t, \nabla_v b(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \theta_t \right) dt + E \int_0^T \left(\hat{q}_t, \nabla_v g(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \theta_t \right) dt \\
& + E \left[\int_0^T \int_{\mathcal{E}} \left(\hat{\beta}_t(e), \nabla_v \sigma(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, e) \theta_t \right) \pi(de) \right. \\
& \left. + E \int_0^T \left(\hat{k}_t, -\nabla_v f(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t) \theta_t \right) dt, \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

where the L^2 conditions (4.3-4.10) ensure that the stochastic integrals with respect to the Brownian motion and the Poisson random measure have zero expectations.

Substituting (4.12) into (4.11), we have

$$E \left[\int_0^T \left(\nabla_v H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t), \theta_t \right) dt \right] = 0. \tag{4.13}$$

Fix $t \in [0, T]$ and apply the above to $\theta = (0, \dots, \theta_i, 0, \dots, 0)$ where $\theta_i(s) = \alpha_i(\omega) \mathcal{X}_{[t, t+r]}(s)$, $s \in [0, T]$, $t+r \leq T$ and $\alpha_i = \alpha_i(\omega)$ is bounded ε_t -measurable.

Then it follows from (4.13) that

$$E \left[\int_t^{t+r} \nabla_{v_i} H(s, \hat{x}_s, \hat{y}_s, \hat{z}_s, \hat{r}_s(\cdot), \hat{u}_s, \hat{p}_s, \hat{q}_s, \hat{\beta}_s(\cdot), \hat{k}_s) \alpha_i ds \right] = 0.$$

Differentiating with respect to r at $r = 0$ gives

$$E \left[\nabla_{v_i} H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) \alpha_i \right] = 0.$$

Since this holds for all bounded ε_t -measurable α_i , we conclude that

$$E[\nabla_{v_i} H(t, \hat{x}_t, \hat{y}_t, \hat{z}_t, \hat{r}_t(\cdot), \hat{u}_t, \hat{p}_t, \hat{q}_t, \hat{\beta}_t(\cdot), \hat{k}_t) | \varepsilon_t] = 0.$$

The proof of Theorem 4.1 is completed. $\square$

References

- [1] F. Antonelli. Backward-forward stochastic differential equations. *Ann Appl Probab*, 3:777–793, 1993.
- [2] F. Bagheri and B. Øksendal. A maximum principle for stochastic control with partial information. *Stoch Anal Appl*, 25:493–514, 2007.
- [3] U.H Baptiste-Jean and C Lemarechal. *Fundamentals of convex analysis*. Berlin: Springer-verlag, 2001.
- [4] D. Duffie and L. Epstein. Asset pricing with stochastic differential utilities. *Rev Financial Stud*, 5:411–436, 1992.
- [5] N. El. Karoui, S. Peng, and C. Quenez M. Backward stochastic differential equations in finance. *Math Finance*, 7:1–71, 1997.
- [6] M. Kohlmann and D. Xiong. The mean-variance hedging of a default option with partial information. *Stoch Anal Appl*, 25:869–893, 2007.
- [7] Q. Meng. A maximum principle for optimal control problem of fully coupled forward-backward stochastic systems with partial information. *Science in China Series A: Mathematics*, 52:1579–1588, 2009.
- [8] B. ØKendal. A universal optimal consumption rate for insider. *Math Finance*, 16:119–129, 2006.
- [9] B. Øsendal and A. Sulem. Maximum principles for optimal control of forward-backward stochastic differential equations with jumps. *math.uio.no*, 2008.
- [10] J. Shi and Z. Wu. The maximum principle for fully coupled forward backward stochastic control system. *Acta Automat Sinica*, 32:161–169, 2006.
- [11] J. Shi and Z. Wu. Maximum principle for fully coupled forward-backward stochastic control system with random jumps. *Proceedings of the 26th Chinese Control Conference*, pages 375–380, July 26-31 2007.
- [12] Z. Wu. Forward-backward stochastic differential equations with brownian motion and poisson process. *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (English Ser.)*, 15:433–443, 1999.
- [13] Z. Wu. Fully coupled fbsde with brownian motion and poisson process in stopping time duration. *J. Aust. Math. Soc.*, 74:249–266., 2003.
- [14] W. Xu. Stochastic maximum principle for optimal control problem of forward and backward system. *J Aust Math*, 37:172–185, 1995.
- [15] X. Zhou and Y. Yong. *Stochastic Controls*. (Dordrecht: Springer-Verlag), 1999.